

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/800,946 03/15/2004		/2004	Shuang-yong Xu	NEB-183-CIP	2242
28986	7590	12/07/2006		EXAMINER	
		EL; NEW ENG	PATTERSON, CHARLES L JR		
240 COUNT IPSWICH, 1	Y ROAD MA 01938-27	723	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				1652	
			DATE MAILED: 12/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)					
	Office Audio C	10/800,946	XU ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Charles L. Patterson, Jr.	1652					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence ad	dress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. sply be timely filed I'HS from the mailing date of this control ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	20 November 2006						
·		This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-13,15 and 16</u> is/are pending	n in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6-10</u> is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are rejected.							
· -	Claim(s) 13,15 and 16 is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	nd/or election requirement.	-					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner						
-			ov the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			FR 1.121(d).				
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by th							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in Ap	oplication No					
	3. \square Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National	Stage				
	application from the International Bu	` ' '						
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not r	received.					
Attachmen	t(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application					

Art Unit: 1652

Newly added figures 9, 10-1, 10.2, 10-3 and 10-4 are objected to because they do not have "new sheet" at the top margin, as required by 37 CFR § 1.121(d). Also the instant figures are objected to as they are obviously informal figures and formal figures are required.

Newly added Figure 9 is apparently the same as Figure 1C of Malone, et al. (AX) and therefore is not new matter since the sequence was referred to previously in the specification. Applicants have stated that Appendix 1 is the sequence for BsgI but they have not requested that Appendix 1 be added to the instant specification. If applicants desire that Appendix 1 be added to the specification, they should specifically state this. Applicants should specifically state that the sequences shown in Figures 10-1 - 10-4 are the same as previously published sequences, as GenBank sequences can be changed at any time without notice to the public. If applicants wish to use the GenBank sequences, they should preferably enclose a copy of the GenBank sequence that is dated prior to the instant effective filing date along with the statement.

The amendment filed 11/20/06 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:

The changes to page 34, i.e. adding "X" in line 6 and changing "X" to "VIII" in line 7 of the amendment, are apparently not supported by the original specification and applicants offer no reason they were changed. On page 15 of applicants' Remarks it is simply stated that "[t]he Examiner is thanked for pointing out the typographical errors on page 34, lines 23 and 25. For

Art Unit: 1652

purposes of consistency with Malone et al (AX), applicants have amended the text accordingly". No explanation as to why the recitations were different in the Malone reference and the original specification is given.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11 is confusing and indefinite in the recitation of "optionally" on line 10. This rejection is repeated for the reasons in the last action.

Applicants have moved the word "optionally" in the amended claim but have made no comment regarding the confusing and indefinite nature of the word.

Claim 12 is confusing in the recitation of "corresponding to within a..."

Apparently "within" should be deleted.

After a careful re-reading of the instant specification in view of the instant amendment and remarks, it is concluded that the GNPPY sequence referred to in the last action is present in the methylase domains of the RM proteins of BpmI and AcuI, not in the methylase protein. This would be SEQ ID NO:4 in the case of BpmI, not SEQ ID NO:2. Also, "FDAIIGNPPY" in BpmI and "FDVILPNPPY" in BsgI are located in the methylase domains and not in the methylase protein. Apparently, the functional BpmI, AcuI, BsgI and ThaIV endonuclease proteins have a cleavage domain, a methylase domain and a specificity domain that is separate and distinct from the methylase protein and apparently this cleavage domain, methylase domain and specificity domain are all necessary for restriction specific cleavage to occur.

Art Unit: 1652

Accordingly, the previous 35 USC § 112 first paragraph rejection is dropped.

Claims 1-3 and 6-10 are allowed. Claim 13, 15 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles L. Patterson, Jr., PhD, whose telephone number is 571-272-0936. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1652

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles L. Patterson, Jr.

Page 5

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1652

Patterson December 4, 2006